



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/068,080	02/05/2002	Christian Fritz	06286-090002	06286-090002 7540	
	590 12/31/2003		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S		
BOSTON, MA	02110		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			1645		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/068,080	FRITZ ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khatol S Shahnan-Shah	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of to (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approper the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	, , ,		and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .						
Claim(s) objected to: None.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>39 and 40</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
<del></del>						

## Attachment to Advisory Action

1. Applicants' amendment and reply to a final office action under 37 CFR 1.116, received December 19, 2003 is acknowledged.

The proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search for the following reasons:

New claims 41-50 require both new search and new rejection. New claims now recite that the polypeptide is derived from pathogenic and non- pathogenic strains of *Streptococci* and also the claims now recite a fusion protein comprising the polypeptide fused to a transcription activation domain of transcription factor or a DNA binding domain of transcription factor.

The above limitations have not been considered in the first and final rejections by the examiner in the history of prosecution.

2. Claims 39-40 are pending in the application.

## Information Disclosure Statement

3. Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement received February 05, 2002 is acknowledged. The references cited on form PTO-1449 have been considered by the examiner. An initialed copy of IDS is attached with this action.

#### Rejections Maintained

4. Rejection of claims 39-40 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, made in paragraph 10, of the office action mailed 8/26/2003 is maintained.

Applicants' arguments filed November 27, 2002 have been fully considered and are not

.

persuasive.

Applicants have not amended the claims. Applicants argue that the language of claims 39 and 40 are clear. Applicants further argue the language recited in part (b) of both claims does not necessarily mean that any compound that binds the S-yneS polypeptide is an antibacterial compound. Rather, the language of part (b) of these claims makes clear that a compound capable of binding this essential polypeptide is a candidate antibacterial agent. With respect to claim 39, such a candidate antibacterial agent may or may not be tested further to investigate the agent's antibacterial properties. With respect to claim 40, a candidate antibacterial agent is further tested to confirm the agent's antibacterial properties.

It is the examiner's position that the language as recited in part (b) of claims specially claim 39 is unclear. How can one assume that if a compound binds to a polypeptide can be a candidate antibacterial agent without further testing that compound?

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khatol Shahnan-Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-8896. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:30 AM - 4 PM on Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned to is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

putu

Khatol Shahnan-Shah, BS, Pharm, MS

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1645

December 26, 2003

ODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D